

United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

EMMANULE DAVON DAVIS

Case Number: 1:09-MJ-6

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

Part I - Findings of Fact

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
- ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. §3156(a)(4).
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _____
- ☐ a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

Alternate Findings (A)

- ☒ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
- ☒ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq
- ☐ under 18 U.S.C. §924(c).
- ☒ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of the community.

Alternate Findings (B)

- ☒ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- ☒ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Defendant is 26 years old, has a questionable employment history (defendant told Pretrial Services he had employment with a plowing and lawn service, but told the FBI upon arrest that he was unemployed) and no assets. He was convicted of homicide in 1999 and served 12 months in jail and 60 months on probation. However, he violated probation a number of times and was given a 5 to 15 year prison term because of these violations. He also has a conviction for sale of drug paraphernalia. He has two known aliases, Maurice Durand Holt (with a false date of birth) and Jamar Moor.
 (continued on attachment)

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that

no condition or combination of conditions will assure the safety of the community from further drug sales by the defendant, based upon the un rebutted presumption. The evidence also establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that there is no condition or combination of conditions that will assure the presence of the defendant for future court proceedings, again based upon the un rebutted presumption, coupled with the fact that the defendant is (continued on attachment)

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: February 3, 2009

/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.

Signature of Judicial Officer

Hugh W. Brenneman, United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

United States v. **EMMANULE DAVON DAVIS**

1:09-MJ-6

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Page 2.

Alternate Findings (B) - (continued)

The alleged sales giving rise to the present charges both occurred within 100 yards of an elementary school.

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention - (continued)

charged with a serious drug offense carrying a mandatory 5-year prison term, has used aliases, and has repeatedly violated probation by failing to appear for drug testing to the extent that he was returned to prison on a murder charge for a 5 to 15-year period.